Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	.1 62 6							
1	or agent's file refere		URTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
Internation	al application No.	Internatio	nal filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/	JP2004/005	07.0	4.2004	10.04.2003				
Internation	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC							
Applicant								
GHEN	GHEN CORPORATION							
	•							
	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. T	his REPORT consist	s of a total of	sheets, includ	ing this cover sheet.				
		ompanied by ANNEXES,		·				
a				sheets, as follows:				
	☐ sheet			n amended and are the basis for this report and/or Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative				
			sheets, but which this Authority o	onsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond				
	L the d	-		ed in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental				
	Box.							
b	o (sent to the	e International Bureau onl	y) a total of (indicate type and num	ber of electronic carrier(s))				
				containing a mayance listing and/or tables				
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see							
		of the Administrative Instr		,				
4. T	his report contains ir	dications relating to the fo	llowing items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report						
L	Box No. II	Priority						
<u></u>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to novelty, inve	h regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
<u>L</u>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invent	ion					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
[Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report								
Date of Sautinession of the demand			Date of completion of	ino report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP			And the second	And it 1 CD				
Name and	mailing address of th	e ipea/jp	Authorized officer	Aminorized Officer				
Facsimile N	No.		Telephone No.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005006

Вох	No. I	Basis of the report					
1.		n regard to the language, this report is based on the internation cated under this item.	nal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise				
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)					
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	or 55.3)				
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):						
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed/furnished					
		the description:					
		pages	as originally filed/furnished				
		pages*	received by this Authority on				
		pages*	received by this Authority on				
		the claims:					
		nos.	as originally filed/furnished				
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19				
		nos.*	received by this Authority on				
		nos.*	received by this Authority on				
		the drawings:					
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished				
		sheets*	received by this Authority on				
		sheets*	received by this Authority on				
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.				
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendathey have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fill	ments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since ed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
*	If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe					

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005006

Вох	No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1.	Statement	-
	Novelty (N) Claims	_ YES
	Claims 1-8	_ NO
	Inventive step (IS) Claims	YES
	Claims 1-8	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-8	YES
	Claims	210
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)	
<u>۔</u>	Document 1: KR 2003/26046 A (BIOLAB CO., LTD.), 31 March	
	2003, entire document (Family: none)	
	Document 2: JP 2001-525314 A (XIMED Group PLC.), 11	
	December 2001, entire document; claims 1,	
	26, 28 to 31; examples 1 to 10 & WO 99/2187	
	Al & AU 9882326 B & EP 1001809 Al	
	Document 3: JP 2002-27979 A (The Nippon Synthetic	
	Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.), 29 January	
	2002, entire document (Family: none)	
	Document 4: JP 8-12584 A (Yakult Honsha Co., Ltd.), 16	
	January 1996, entire document; paragraphs	
	[0002] and [0040] (Family: none)	
	Document 5: JP 58-225026 A (Mochida Pharmaceutical Co.,	
	Ltd.), 27 December 1983, entire document;	
	page 2, upper left column, lines 9 to 15	
	(Family: none)	
	Document 6: JP 2-121908 A (Kabushiki Kaisha Ghen Corp.),	
	9 May 1990, entire document (Family: none)	
	[1]	
	Document 1 indicates that a composition containing	
	an antibody (IgY) ingredient originating from an egg laid	
	by a hen immunized with sucrase and maltase is applied as	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

the active ingredient of an antiobestic agent based on the inhibiting action of the aforementioned sucrase and maltase.

Therefore the invention set forth in claims 1 to 8 lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1.

[2]

Document 2 indicates that a composition containing an antibody (IgY) ingredient originating from an egg laid by a hen immunized with lipase is applied as the active ingredient for an antiobestic agent based on the inhibiting action of lipase. In this way, a dietary enzyme inhibitor/antiobestic agent having as its active ingredient a composition containing an IgY ingredient originating from an egg laid by a hen immunized with a dietary enzyme was known at the time of filing of this application.

Document 2 does not indicate that two or more types of dietary enzyme are used as immunogens. However, improving obesity by applying a compound ingredient having inhibiting activity with respect to a dietary enzyme such as alpha-glucosidase, amylase, trypsin or lipase was a known technique at the time of filing of this application as described in documents 3 to 5, and when doing so the concept of inhibiting a plurality of types of dietary enzyme is disclosed in document 5. In addition, the method of preparing an IgY antibodycontaining hen's egg ingredient having as an immunogen the same dietary enzyme as the enzyme described in documents 1 and 2 per se was a known technique at the time of filing of this application, as described not only

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

in documents 1 and 2 but also in document 6.

Therefore it would not be technically difficult for a person skilled in the art to prepare the IgY antibody ingredient obtained having a known dietary enzyme other than the dietary enzymes set forth in documents 1 and 2 as the immunogen, and to apply said ingredient together with the IgY antibody ingredient disclosed in either document 1 or 2, and it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to predict that doing so would more effectively hinder the digestive action and result in a more effective antiobestic than when either IgY ingredient is applied alone.

Therefore the invention set forth in claims 1 to 8 does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 6.

The embodiments of drawings and the description of this application only indicate that better results are obtained when IgY ingredients for specific two types of dietary enzymes are prepared and combined than when each of the IqY ingredients is applied alone, and the fact that the aforementioned advantageous effect is synergistically advantageous beyond the additional antiobestic effect which may be expected if the IgY ingredients are used in isolation is not sufficiently explained to allow a specific and logical understanding. Moreover, it is unclear from the drawings and description of this application that an advantageous dietary enzyme inhibiting effect and/or antiobestic effect that goes beyond that expected from each of the documents (i.e. a symbiotic effect) is offered by all combinations of two or more types of dietary enzyme included in the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005006

Box No.	x No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement											
de	escri	ptions	of	the	claims,	or	a	combination	of	all	IgY	
an	ntibo	ody-con	tair	ning	ingredi	ents	S.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005006

Box	No. VI Certain documents cited			
1.	Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)			
	Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
	KR 2003/68728 A	25.08.2003	16.02.2002	
	[E, Y]			
	JP 2003-259884 A	16.09.2003	06.01.2003	07.01.2002
	[E, Y]			
	JP 2003-192695 A	09.07.2003	27.12.2001	
	[E, Y]			

2.	Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)		
	Kind of non-written disclosure	Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)	Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
			

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005006

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

(1)

Among the compositions as specified in claims, specific data based on Examples cannot be proved concerning those containing components derived from an egg laid by a hen having been immunized by two or more "digestive enzymes or fragments thereof" for an individual, as specified in, for example, claim 2. Thus, they are neither fully supported by the description in the meaning within PCT Article 6 nor sufficiently disclosed in the description in the meaning within PCT Article 5.

(2)

Among the compositions as specified in claims, those wherein a digestive enzyme fragment is employed as an immunogen for a hen are neither fully supported by the description in the meaning within PCT Article 6 nor sufficiently disclosed in the description in the meaning within PCT Article 5.